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HYBRID BILLS IN THE 21st CENTURY

- 21st century issues:
  - Environmental Impact Assessment
  - Timing
  - Capacity of Parliament
  - Project changes
  - Scrutiny
  - Flexibility
  - Public accessibility
ENVIROMENAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

- Standing Orders and EIA
- Crossrail as an example: separate consultations
- HS2, as a more recent example: 21,833 responses
TIMING

- Planning Act 2008 – about 16 months per application
- Crossrail Act 2008 – 42 months
- HS2 Bill – over two years?
CAPACITY OF PARLIAMENT

- 1866: 362 local acts of parliament (658 MPs)
- 2014: capacity seems to be one hybrid bill per five-year session of parliament (650 MPs)
- Why the reduction in capacity of 1/1800?
PROJECT CHANGES

- Select committees can require project changes mid-consideration against the promoter’s wishes
- Changes to Planning Act 2008 projects are very difficult even if desired by the promoter, perhaps impossible if not supported by the promoter
SCRUTINY

- Select committee chair and lead examining inspector take on the main responsibility for scrutiny
- Panel of inspectors usually all present
- Select committee members usually down to quorum
FLEXIBILITY

- Acts of Parliament are the ultimate in flexibility
- Development consent orders are constrained by the *vires* of the Planning Act 2008
- Requirement for detail appears to be greater for the latter than the former
- No judicial review
PUBLIC ACCESSIBILITY

- 19th century procedure
- Quantity of documentation
CONCLUSION

- Hybrid bills do have a place in the 21st century
- The process has advantages over other routes
- It does need to be updated:
  - EIA compatibility
  - Capacity of Parliament
  - Level of scrutiny
Thank you – any questions?